ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: M 124949
Date Received: 04/08/10
Date Extracted: 04/09/10
Date Analyzed: 04/09/10
Matrix: Water
Units: ug/L (ppb)

Internal Standard:

Germanium

Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Alaskan Copper Works Metro Self Monitor, F&BI 004099

004099-01 x10

Instrument:

004099-01 x10.022 ICPMS1

Operator: AP

% Recovery:

Lower Limit: 60 Upper Limit: 125

Concentration ug/L (ppb)

Chromium 816
Nickel 872
Copper 791
Zinc 59.1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Method Blank Client: Alaskan Copper Works

Date Received: Not Applicable Project: Metro Self Monitor, F&BI 004099

Date Extracted: 04/09/10 Lab ID: I0-186 mb
Date Analyzed: 04/09/10 Data File: I0-186 mb.008
Matrix: Water Instrument: ICPMS1

Units: ug/L (ppb) Operator: AP

Lower Upper Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 99 60 125

Analyte: Concentration ug/L (ppb)

Chromium <1
Nickel <1
Copper <1
Zinc <1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/13/10 Date Received: 04/08/10

Project: Metro Self Monitor, PO M 124949, F&BI 004099

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 004085-01 (Matrix Spike)

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Percent Recovery MS	Percent Recovery MSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	1.50	98	99	67-132	1
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	6.12	89 b	94 b	73-119	5
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	3,460	0 b	272 b	50-144	200 b
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	2,140	43 b	24 b	46-148	57 b

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Recovery LCS	Acceptance Criteria			
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	96	66-135			
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	98	67-134			
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	98	66-134			
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	95	57-135			

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ${
 m d}{
 m v}$ Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

April 13, 2010



Accounts Payable Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

RE: Project Metro Self Monitor, PO M 124949, F&BI 004099 - Results of testing requested by Gerry Thompson for material submitted on April 8, 2010.

FEDERAL TAX ID #(b) (6)

004099	58 (=		SA	MPLE CHA	in of c	US1	ļOī	Y	ø	4	F	50	O	1/0	18	//0	A		
Send Report To Seven T Hompo				SAMPLED	signature)			$\overline{}$		7.	7.7		F	FE T	rurnaround time				
Send Report 10 4 22 1 HOWARD				PROJECT NAME/NO. PO #							☐ Standard (2 Weeks)								
Company ALASKAN Oppen Works											Rush charges authorized by:								
Company ALASKAN Coppen Works Address 628 S. Hander & City, State, ZIP Scorre WA 98134				Metro Sect Monday M124949 Rush charges aut						es autho	orized by:								
				REMARKS				i)		-						LE DIS			
				84										Retu	m sa	ifter 30 (imples			
Phone #_206-571-60	>35_Fax #_と	4-38Z-	4301				W.							Will	call v	vith inst	ructions		
)				Α	NAL	YSES	REQU	JEST	ED			19 19		
						sel	ine)21B	260	8270	3	3							
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date	Time	Sample Type	# of containers	TPH-Diesel	TPH-Gasoline	BTEX by 8021B	VOCs by 8260	SVOCs by 8270	SHES C	200				*	Notes		
m 124949	01	4/8/10	12:30	HrO	1			, H			龙								
)) Lagranda (1980)																	Total Landing		
*				110		A.2								100	guestini T		F		
							SV 53				i i					-			
					4											į.	# ####################################		
28					59											Y.			
<u> </u>							7				1					Φ <u>ξ</u> .	× •		
										\top	1					1	4		
		si							+	\top	\top	1	H				numera sendia.		
								+	Ť	\dagger	\dagger								
Friedman & Bruya, Inc.		SIGNATUI	RE		PRINT	NAN	/Æ			-		OMP	ANY	L		DATE	TIME		
3012 16th Avenue West				(20							4/ 1								
Seattle, WA 98119-	Received by an au				Than Phan Fe Bt 4/8/1				8/10	2:24									
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Relinquished by	y:// *		Hede								n .	ė		200				
Fax (206) 283-5044	Received by:			* : ==		£.					i.	-							
FORMS\COC\COC.DOC	t	70			67	53			-	<u>.</u>	æ	- Si	_				Special Science		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

April 13, 2010

Gerry Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on April 8, 2010 from the Metro Self Monitor, PO M 124949, F&BI 004099 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Kortland Orr Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0413R.DOC